I Mina'trentai Unu na Liheslaturan Guåhan • The 31st Guam Legislature 155 Hesler Place, Hagåtña, Guam 96910 • www.guamlegislature.com E-mail: roryforguam@gmail.com • Tel: (671)472-7679 • Fax: (671)472-3547 TOP TO THE

Senator Rory J. Respicio CHAIRPERSON MAJORITY LEADER

November 26, 2012

Memorandum

Senator Judith P. Guthertz VICE CHAIRPERSON ASST. MAJORITY LEADER

To:

Pat C. Santos

Clerk of the Legislature

MAJORITY MEMBERS:

From:

Senator Rory J. Respicio

Majority Leader & Rules Chair

Speaker Judith T. Won Pat

Subject:

Fiscal Notes

Vice Speaker Benjamin J. F. Cruz

Hafa Adai!

Senator Tina Rose Muña Barnes LEGISLATIVE SECRETARY MAJORITY WHIP

Attached please find the fiscal notes for the bill numbers listed below.

Senator Dennis G. Rodriguez, Jr. Asst. Majority Whip introduced.

Bill No.: 461-31 (COR) 523-31 (COR)

Senator Thomas C. Ada

518-31 (COR) 528-31 (COR) 521-31 (COR) 532-31 (COR)

Senator Adolpho B. Palacios, Sr.

Senator vicente c. pangelinan

Please forward the same to MIS for posting on our website. Please contact our office should you have any questions regarding this matter.

Please note that the fiscal notes, or waivers, are issued on the bills as

MINORITY MEMBERS:

Senator Aline A. Yamashita Asst. Minority Leader Si Yu'os ma'åse'!

Senator Christopher M. Duenas

02:20:55 p.m. 11–23–2012



BUREAU OF BUDGET & MANAGEMENT RESEARCH

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
Post Office Box 2950, Hagatña Guam 96932

EDDIE BAZA CALVO GOVERNOR JOHN A. RIOS DIRECTOR

RAY TENORIO LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

NOV 23 2012

STEPHEN J. GUERRERO DEPUTY DIRECTOR

Senator Rory J. Respicio Chairperson, Committee on Rules I Mina'trentai Unu na Liheslaturan Guåhan The 31st Guam Legislature 155 Hesler Place Hagåtna, Guam 96932

Hafa Adai Senator Respicio:

Transmitted herewith is Fiscal Note on the following Bill Nos.: 461-31(COR), 518-31(COR), 521-31(COR), 523-31(COR), 528-31(COR), and 532-31(COR).

If you have any question(s), please do not hesitate to call the office at 475-9412/9106.

Enclosures

cc: Senator Vicente (ben) Pangelinan

Bureau of Budget & Management Research Fiscal Note of Bill No. 523-31(COR)

		Fiscal No	ote of Bill No. 523	-31(COR)		
N ACT RELATIV	E TO THE APPR	OPRIATION OF FU	NDS TO THE UNIV	ERSITY OF GUAM		
		Department/	Agency Appropriation	on Information		
Dept./Agency Affected: University of Guam Dept./Agency Head: Dr. Robert Underwo						od, President
Department's General Fund (GF) appropriation(s) to date:						30,189,847
Department's Other Fund (Specify) appropriation(s) to date:						3,662,048
Total Department/Agency Appropriation(s) to date:						\$33,851,895
		Fund Source In	nformation of Propos	sed Appropriation		
				General Fund:	(Specify Special Fund):	Total:
FY 2012 Unreserved Fund Balance ^l					\$0	\$0
FY 2013 Adopted Revenues				\$0	\$0	\$0
FY 2013 Appro. (Substitute Bill 426-31)				\$0	\$0	\$(
Sub-total:				\$0	\$0	\$(
Less appropriation in Bill				\$0	\$0	\$6
Total: \$0						\$0
	olija (kalegera)	pony i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	imated Fiscal Impact	of Bill		
		For Remainder of				
	One Full Fiscal Year	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
General Fund	\$0	(if applicable) \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$(
Specify Special	\$0					\$(
Fund) Total	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Total	.50		30	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$6</u>
1. Does the bill contain "revenue generating" provisions? // Yes						/x / No
If Yes, see attachment 2. Is amount appropriated adequate to fund the intent of the appropriation? /X / N/A / / Yes						/ / No
If no, what is the additional amount required? \$ /X / N/A						, , , , , ,
3. Does the Bill establish a new program/agency? If yes, will the program duplicate existing programs/agencies? /X / N/A /					/ / Yes / / Yes	/ / No
Is there a federal mandate to establish the program/agency?						/ / No /X / No
4. Will the enactment of this Bill require new physical facilities? // Yes						/X / No
		the affected dept/age	•		/ / Yes	/X / No
/ / Requested a	gency comments no	of received by due dat	te /X	Other: Insufficier	nt time for collaboration	on due deadline.
	In Ol	/ 1/1			2 2 01	340
Analyst L	112 145	. 111,	V Director:		Date UY 23 21	ME
Јођи АВ	3 Pangelinan, Budg	et Supervisor		Rios, Director باز hn		
v						
Footnotes:						

671 4722825 02:22:06 p.m. 11–23–2012 7 /11

Comments on Bill No. 523-31

Bill No. 523-31 (COR) proposes to appropriate \$1,470,000 needed to prevent a tuition increase at the University of Guam (UOG). The funding source is the annual debt payment made to the 2002 Bank of Guam Short Term Loan, which has since been paid off in October 2012. With the exception of the last debt payment of \$1,717,449 made to retire this debt, the annual debt payment under the 2002 short term loan debt has been \$1,281,818. This bill is seeking to transfer the pledge of Section 30 funding to fund the proposed appropriation in the event the Government of Guam is not able to provide the customary General Fund funding provided to the loan. In this case the pledge funding would increase to \$1,470,000, an increase of \$188,182.

The basis for such an appropriation is the result of the limited funding provided to UOG since FY 2000. The bill is also citing the Governor's 15% reserve, implemented in FY 2011 and FY 2012 as a contributing factor to the increase in tuition cost needed to cover the added operational cost brought on by inflation. The bill further reflected on the University's belt tightening cost reduction, the use of technology, and the consolidation of programs to collective save up to \$2 Million. However, such belt tightening cost saving measures were not specifically identified and the cost of technology may be higher than the savings purported.

The limited funding to UOG as presented by the bill is being felt by all departments and agencies of the Government of Guam, including the Guam Department of Education and the Guam Community College. The Government of Guam's Public Health clinics and Guam Memorial Hospital Authority are feeling the same structurally deficit funding. And our safety agencies that include the Guam Police Department, Guam Fire Department, Department of Correction and the Guam Custom and Quarantine are experiencing the same funding shortfall. UOG is not alone in its funding crisis.

The Government of Guam's overall annual debt service payments for FY 2013 are \$61.7 Million. For FY 2014, the expected aggregated annual debt service payments are \$77.5 Million and in FY 2015, the scheduled annual debt service payments are pegged at \$99.7 Million, an increase of \$22.2 Million. The aggregate debt service payments for FY 2016 are expected to be at \$81.2 Million, a reduction of \$18.5 Million; but the annual debt service requirement would remain between \$86 Million and \$95 Million thereafter for several years, which is still high, given the level of General Fund and Special Fund revenues the Government of Guam generates each year.

Efforts have been made to pay down the income tax refund; but, there still remains approximately another \$100 Million more in Income Tax Refund payments. Additionally, there are pending obligations such as the condemnation payments of up to \$21 Million owed to previous landlords and the potential healthcare service cost of approximately \$71 million required by the federal government.

General Fund and most Special Revenue Fund revenues will remain fairly the same, increasing nominally for normal customary increase and will the same until such time there are strong economic growths. However such economic growth is not expected in the near future. Therefore, overall government

671 4722825 02:22:21 p.m. 11–23–2012 8 /11

revenues are not expected to keep pace with growing government operating cost; thus, the continued budget practice of providing structurally deficit funding of all Government of Guam line departments and agencies in the coming years

Given these concerns, the Bureau of Budget and Management Research (BBMR) is unable to support such earmarking of revenues for UOG. We believe the proposal is commendable; but such earmarking would become the norm rather than the exception, resulting in a situation where the government's revenue base is slowly eaten away, further increasing the practice of structurally deficit budgeting.